



Annual Report 2010

Ireland

Document History

Title	Annual Report 2010
Document type	Annual Report
Document number	2011-AR2010
Document issue date	28 th July 2011

Revision	Revision	Summary of changes
number	date	

Foreword

The Railway Accident Investigation Unit's purpose is to independently investigate occurrences on Irish railways with a view to establishing their cause and make recommendations to prevent their recurrence or otherwise improve railway safety.

Twenty five preliminary examinations were carried out in 2010, from which seven full investigations were commenced. Four of the full investigations involved level crossings, of which three involving manually operated level crossings being worked by members of the public and one involved a level crossing operated by railway staff that was struck by a train. The remaining three investigations involved two derailments and one instance equipment failure on a train.

Six investigations reports were published in 2010 relating to occurrences that took place in 2009. These related to: a collision between a locomotive and carriages; two derailments; the collapse of Malahide Viaduct; the irregular operation of a level crossing; and the derailment of a train that collided with a landslip. A total of 26 new recommendations were issued in 2010. The focus of the recommendations was: competency management for staff; the implementation of documentation on inspections and maintenance; the management of records; and the management of records.

Sixty recommendations have been issued in total up to the end of 2010, including fourteen issued by the Railway Safety Commission in advance of the appointment of a Chief Investigator for the Railway Accident Investigation Unit in 2007. Of these, sixteen have been closed out as having been addressed, twenty three are complete and awaiting verification that they have been addressed, and a further twenty one are open.

David Murton Chief Investigator

Contents

1.	Bac	kground	. 1
2.	RAI	U	.2
2.	1	The organisation	.2
2.	2	Railway networks within the RAIU's remit	.2
2.	3	Non-investigative activities	.4
3.	Occ	urrences	.5
3.	1	Classification of occurrences	.5
3.	2	Investigation of occurrences	.5
3.	3	Summary of occurrences in 2010	.7
3.	4	Investigations within the past five years	.7
4.	Inve	stigations commenced in 20101	0
4.	1	Train derailment at Laois Traincare Depot1	0
4.	2	Secondary suspension failure on a train at Connolly Station1	0
4.	3	Tram derailment at The Point Stop on the Red line1	1
4.	4	Pedestrian strike and fatality at level crossing XE039, County Clare1	1
4.	5	Gate strike by an On Track Machine at level crossing XC219, County Cork1	12
4.	6	Tractor strike and fatality at level crossing XM096, County Roscommon1	12
4.	7	Car strike at level crossing XM250, County Mayo1	13
5.	Inve	stigation reports published in 20101	4
5.	1	Overview of investigation reports for 2010	4
5.	2	Collision of a Locomotive with Passenger Carriages at Plunkett Station in Waterford	4
5.	3	Derailment of an on track machine at Limerick Junction Station	15
5.	4	Derailment of a tram at Connolly Station1	6
5.	5	Malahide Viaduct Collapse on the Dublin to Belfast line1	17
5.	6	Irregular operation of Automatic Half Barriers at level crossing XG019, Fern's Lock	21
5.	7	Derailment of empty train due to collision with landslip debris outside Wicklow Station2	22
6.	Rec	ommendations2	25
6.	1	Monitoring of RAIU recommendations2	25
6.	2	Progress in 2010	26
6.	3	Summary of status of recommendations2	26
Арр	endix	c – Status of individual recommendations by report	28

List of abbreviations

ERA	European Railway Agency
IÉ	larnród Éireann
NIB	National Investigation Body
No.	Number
NSA	National Safety Authority
RAIU	Railway Accident Investigation Unit
RSC	Railway Safety Commission
SI	Statutory Instrument

List of figures

Figure 1 – Organisation chart	2
Figure 2 – Investigation trend	9
Figure 3 – Derailed train	10
Figure 4 – Carriage underframe equipment	10
Figure 5 – Derailed tram at Point stop	11
Figure 6 – Level crossing XE039	11
Figure 7 – Level crossing XC219	12
Figure 8 – Train at level crossing XM096	12
Figure 9 – Level crossing XM250	13
Figure 10 – Plunkett station	14
Figure 11 – Derailed on track machine	15
Figure 12 – Derailed tram at Connolly stop	16
Figure 13 – Collapse of Malahide Viaduct	17
Figure 14 – Level crossing XG019	21
Figure 15 – Derailed train on landslip	22
Figure 16 – Status of recommendations	27

List of tables

Table 1 – Preliminary examination reports in 2010 by network	7
Table 2 – Full investigations within the past five years by type	8
Table 3 – Recommendation status descriptions	25
Table 4 – Progress with recommendations in 2010	26
Table 5 – Status of recommendations	26

1. Background

In April 2004, the European Parliament passed 'Directive 2004/49/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on safety on the Community's railways and amending Council Directive 95/18/EC on the licensing of railway undertakings and Directive 2001/14/EC on the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity and the levying of charges for the use of railway infrastructure and safety certification' (the Railway Safety Directive). This directive set out the requirement for each European Union member state to establish a National Safety Authority (NSA) to oversee the regulation of railway safety and a National Investigation Body (NIB) to act as an independent no blame accident investigation body.

The Railway Safety Act 2005 was passed on the 23rd December 2005, transposing the Railway Safety Directive into national legislation and creating the framework for the establishment of the Railway Safety Commission (RSC). On the 1st January 2006 the RSC was established transferring the regulation of railway safety from the Department of Transport. The Railway Safety Act 2005 established the RSC to act as the NSA and perform the duties outlined in the Railway Safety Directive associated with the licensing of railways. The Railway Accident Investigation Unit (RAIU) was established as a functionally independent unit within the RSC to act as the NIB, independently investigating railway occurrences. The roles of the RSC and the RAIU were subsequently elaborated upon under the European Communities (Railway Safety) Regulations 2008, Statutory Instrument number 61 of 2008 (SI no. 61 of 2008) dated the 6th March 2008.

The purpose of an investigation by the RAIU is to improve railway safety by establishing, in so far as possible, the cause or causes of an accident or incident with a view to making recommendations for the avoidance of accidents in the future, or otherwise for the improvement of railway safety. It is not the purpose of an investigation to attribute blame or liability. The RAIU's investigations are carried out in accordance with the Railway Safety Act 2005 as amended by SI no. 61 of 2008 and the European Railway Safety Directive.

2. RAIU

2.1 The organisation

The RAIU comprises a Chief Investigator, who was appointed in 2007, and a team of three investigators, which have been in place since 2009. The RAIU shares administrative support with the RSC, all other functions are carried out independently of the RSC. The organisation chart for the RSC, including the RAIU, is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Organisation chart

It is planned that the RAIU, the Air Accident Investigation Unit and the Marine Casualty Investigation Board be merged into a multi-modal accident investigation body within the Department of Transport, giving them total independence from their respective regulatory bodies.

2.2 Railway networks within the RAIU's remit

There are ten railway systems within the RAIU's remit. These are:

- The larnród Éireann (IÉ) national heavy rail network;
- The Luas light rail system in Dublin;
- The Bord Na Móna industrial railway;
- Seven heritage railway systems.

For each of these railway systems there are entities identified as Railway Undertaking and Infrastructure Managers in the Railway Safety Act 2005 as amended by SI no. 61 of 2008. Railway Undertakings are defined as organisations that provide the transport of goods and/or passengers by rail on the basis that the undertaking must ensure traction, including undertakings that provide traction only; which operate under a safety management system approved by the RSC through the issue of a safety certificate. Infrastructure Managers are defined as organisations that establish and maintain railway infrastructure, including the management of infrastructure control and safety systems; which operate under a safety management system approved by the RSC through the issue of a safety authorisation. There are ten organisations that act as Railway Undertaking and Infrastructure Manager for a railway network and two organisations that act solely as Railway Undertakings, there are currently no organisations that act solely as an Infrastructure Manager.

The national heavy rail system is owned by IÉ. IÉ are the Infrastructure Manager and are also the primary Railway Undertaking with responsible for management of commercial train operations, station operations and Centralised Traffic Control. The heavy rail system is interoperable with the heavy rail system in Northern Ireland and cross boarder services are operated by IÉ in conjunction with Translink, the Railway Undertaking responsible for the management of commercial train operations, station operations and Centralised Traffic Control in Northern Ireland. These operations are carried out under IÉ's Safety Case and Translink is classified as a guest operator. A heritage railway undertaking based in Northern Ireland, the Railway Preservation Society of Ireland, also operate steam trains on the heavy rail system several times a year as a guest operator. The performance of the national heavy rail system is reported to the European Railway Agency (ERA) in accordance with European reporting requirements.

The Luas light rail system is owned by the Railway Procurement Agency. Veolia Transport is the Railway Undertaking that operates passenger services, the passenger stops and the Central Control Room. Veolia is also the Infrastructure Manager responsible for the maintenance of the infrastructure.

The Bord Na Móna industrial railway is owned and operated by Bord Na Móna, acting as the Railway Undertaking and Infrastructure Manager for the transport of peat on its network. As this is an industrial railway and does not carry passengers it only falls within the RAIU's remit where the railway interfaces with the public, at level crossings and bridges, and other railways, at bridges.

The operational heritage railway systems in 2010 include: Cavan and Leitrim Railway; Difflin Railway; Fintown Railway; Irish Steam Preservation Society; Lartigue Monorailway; Waterford and Suir Valley Railway; and West Clare Railway. Each of these acts as the Railway Undertaking and Infrastructure Manager for their system.

2.3 Non-investigative activities

As part of its role as an NIB, the RAIU actively participates in the development of accident investigation processes and procedures through the work of ERA. To this end, the RAIU participated in the 2010 NIB plenary meetings and provided input on the direction of NIB related work.

The RAIU has been participating in a joint working group with the Air Accident Investigation Unit and the Marine Casualty Investigation Board on the formation of a multimodal investigation body within the Department of Transport. In 2010 an initial proposal for legislation was drafted and the RAIU provided input into the drafting of new legislation aimed at merging the three investigation bodies in the new independent no blame multi-modal investigation body. The RAIU is due to be co-located with the Air Accident Investigation Unit and the Marine Casualty Investigation Board in early 2011.

At the request of the Oireachtas Joint Committee on Transport, the RAIU attended two meetings of the committee in 2010 relating to the collapse of the Malahide Viaduct in August 2009.

The RAIU attended the International Railway Safety Conference, where it presented a paper on the investigation into the collapse of Malahide Viaduct in 2009. The RAIU also attended the bi-annual International Rail Accident Investigation Conference.

The Memorandums of Understanding entered into with the Transportation Safety Board of Canada and the Rail Accident Investigation Board of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland remain in place. The RAIU have engaged in work towards the possibility of further Memorandums of Understandings with An Garda Síochána and the Coroner's Society of Ireland.

3. Occurrences

3.1 Classification of occurrences

Occurrences fall into one of three types as defined in SI no. 61 of 2008:

- Accident An unwanted or unintended sudden event or a specific chain of such events which have harmful consequences including collisions, derailments, level crossing accidents, accidents to persons caused by rolling stock in motion, fires and others;
- Serious accident Any train collision or derailment of trains, resulting in the death of at least one person or serious injuries to 5 or more persons or extensive damage to rolling stock, the infrastructure or the environment, and any other similar accident with an obvious impact on railway safety regulation or the management of safety, where extensive damage means damage that can be immediately assessed by the RAIU to cost at least €2,000,000 in total;
- Incident Any occurrence, other than an accident or serious accident, associated with the operation of trains and affecting the safety of operation.

For clarity the meaning of the following terms should be noted:

- Harmful consequences Injury to persons and/or damage to equipment;
- Serious injury Any injury requiring hospitalisation for over 24 hours.

3.2 Investigation of occurrences

The RAIU have investigators on call, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, who are notified of reportable occurrences by the Railway Undertakings in accordance with the Railway Safety Act 2005. Based on the nature of the occurrence and the legal requirements, a decision is made on whether or not an investigation is required. In accordance with the Railway Safety Directive, the RAIU must investigate serious accidents; accidents and incidents are investigated depending on the potential for safety lessons to be learnt.

Where notified occurrences warrant further investigation to determine whether or not an investigation is warranted a preliminary examination is carried out and one of the following four determinations is made:

- No further investigation no safety improvements are likely to be identified that could have prevented the occurrence or otherwise improve railway safety;
- Monitor railway investigation the investigation of the railway organisation with responsibility for the party investigation is monitored for adequacy and to ensure any further information that comes to light is taken into considered by the RAIU;

- Trend investigation where the occurrence is part of a group of related occurrences that may
 or may not have warranted an investigation as individual occurrences, but the apparent trend
 warrants investigation;
- Full investigation there is clear evidence that the occurrence could have been prevented or the severity of the outcome could have been mitigated through the actions of those parties involved either directly or indirectly in the installation, operation and maintenance of the railway.

Investigations are classified as one of three types under the Railway Safety Directive:

- Article 19(1) Investigations into serious accidents on the IÉ network, the objective of which is possible improvement of railway safety and the prevention of accidents;
- Article 19(2) Investigation into accidents and incidents, which under slightly different conditions might have led to serious accidents on the IÉ network;
- Article 21(6) Investigations into railway accidents and incidents under national legislation, this includes all investigations relating to the Luas light rail system, the Bord Na Móna industrial railway and the heritage railways.

For each investigation, the level of damage to rolling stock, track, other installations or environment is identified and classified based on the European common safety indicators as follows:

- None;
- Less than €150,000 (<€150,000);
- Equal to or greater than €150,000 (≥€150,000);
- Equal to or greater than €2,000,000 (≥€2,000,000).

Within seven days of a decision to carry out a full investigation, the RAIU advise the relevant railway undertaking of the decision. In accordance with SI no. 61 of 2008, the RAIU also notify the ERA within seven days of a decision to carry out a full investigation into an occurrence on the IÉ network.

The RSC, An Garda Síochána, the Health and Safety Authority and other organisations may carry out investigations in parallel with an RAIU investigation. The RAIU will share its own technical information with these Investigation Bodies, however, the investigations are carried out independently. Based on its investigation, the RAIU produce a report that is provided to all relevant parties, including the Railway Undertaking, the RSC and the Department of Transport. Reports relating to the IÉ network are also provided to ERA. All investigation reports are made available in the public domain once they have been published.

In accordance with the Railway Safety Act 2005, for all occurrences notified to the RAIU the relevant railway must carry out an investigation and produce a report within six months.

3.3 Summary of occurrences in 2010

There were twenty seven preliminary examinations carried out in 2010. These are broken down into serious accidents, accident and incidents by network in Table 1. From the preliminary examination reports produced, seven full investigations were commenced, these are detailed in section 4 below.

Railway Network	Serious Accidents	Accidents	Incidents
IÉ	7	12	1
Luas	0	7	0
Heritage railways	0	0	0
Bord Na Móna	0	0	0
Total	7	19	1

 Table 1 – Preliminary examination reports in 2010 by network

3.4 Investigations within the past five years

The RAIU began its first investigation in 2007 following appointment of the Chief Investigator. Since then the number of full investigations launched each year has increased as the RAIU's staffing resources have grown and its processes have developed. Table 2 shows the areas that have been examined through the RAIU investigations by occurrence type.

As part of its work under the Railway Safety Act 2005 as amended by SI no. 61 of 2008, the RAIU monitor occurrences in order to identify possible trends. Figure 2 shows the RAIU's investigation trend both for 2010 and for the past five years. Occurrences at level crossings continue to represent the greatest portion of our investigations and derailments remain the focus of a quarter of our investigations.

Occurren	ce	Year					5 year average		
Туре	Subset	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total	Percentage	
Serious	Collisions	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
accident	Derailments	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Level crossing	N/A	-	1 (1)	-	2 (2)	3 (3)	14.29 (16.67)	
	To persons due to rolling stock in motion	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Fires	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Others	N/A	-	-	1 (1)	-	1 (1)	4.76 (5.56)	
Accident	Collisions	N/A	-	- (1)	3 (2)	-	4 (3)	19.05 (16.67)	
	Derailments	N/A	-	1 (1)	2 (1)	2 (1)	5 (3)	25 (23.81)	
	Level crossing	N/A	1(1)	2 (2)	-	2 (2)	5 (5)	25 (27.78)	
	To persons due to	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	rolling stock in motion								
	Fires	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Others	N/A	-	-	-	1(1)	1(1)	4.76 (5.56)	
Incident	Infrastructure	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Energy	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Control-command &	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	signalling								
	Rolling stock	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Traffic operation &	N/A	-	1 (1)	1 (1)	-	2 (2)	9.52 (11.11)	
	management								
	Others	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Annual T	otal	N/A	1 (1)	6 (6)	6 (5)	7(6)	21 (18)	100 (100)	

	• • • •				
Table 2 – Full	investigations	within the	past five	vears by	v type

Note: The statistics for the IÉ network only are in brackets.

Investigation within the past 5 years



Figure 2 – Investigation trend

- Serious Accident Collisions
- Serious Accident Derailments
- Serious Accident Level crossing
- Serious Accident To persons due to rolling stock in motion
- Serious Accident Fires
- Serious Accident Others
- Accident Collisions
- Accident Derailments
- Accident Level crossing
- Accident To persons due to rolling stock in motion
- Accident Fires
- Accident Others
- Incident Infrastructure
- Incident Energy
- Incident Control-command & signalling
- Incident Rolling stock
- Incident Traffic operation & management
- Incident Others

4. Investigations commenced in 2010

4.1 Train derailment at Laois Traincare Depot

At approximately 15:25 on the 20th January 2010, a Class 22000 six carriage train began to depart Laois Traincare Depot with assistance of a shunter positioned on the ground. A set of points were moved as the train passed over them, leading to the third and fourth carriages taking diverging routes and derailing.





Occurrence classification: Accident. Subset: Derailment. Investigation classification: Article 19(2). Fatalities and injuries: None. Damage: ≥€150,000.

4.2 Secondary suspension failure on a train at Connolly Station

At approximately 22:50 on the 7th May 2010, shortly after a Class 29000 Diesel Multiple Unit had returned from passenger service, a member of the train cleaning staff working at Connolly Station observed that carriage 29310 was raised relative to the adjacent carriage, 29410, and reported this to IÉ staff. The secondary suspension was found to have over-inflated and the bogie centre pivot retaining plate bolts had failed with the bogie centre pivot lifted out of the bogie centre.



Figure 4 – Carriage underframe equipment

Occurrence classification: Accident. Subset: Others. Investigation classification: Article 19(2). Fatalities and injuries: None. Damage: <€150,000.

4.3 Tram derailment at The Point Stop on the Red line

At approximately 22:10 on the 13th May 2010, a tram arriving into The Point Stop on the Luas Red Line entered the Event Platform, which was already occupied by another tram, whilst intending to travel to the Inbound Platform. The tram driver stopped the tram and contacted the Central Control Room to advise the controller of the situation. The tram driver was advised to move to the other driving cab in order to return to the outbound track and allow movement towards an unoccupied platform. As the tram began to move away from the Event Platform it derailed on a set of points.



Investigation classification: Article 21(6). Fatalities and injuries: None. Damage: None.

Occurrence classification:

Accident. **Subset:** Derailment.

Figure 5 – Derailed tram at Point stop

4.4 Pedestrian strike and fatality at level crossing XE039, County Clare

At approximately 22:10 on the 27th June 2010, the 21:45 passenger service from Ennis to Limerick struck and fatally injured a farmer at level crossing XE039, outside the town of Sixmilebridge, County Clare. The farmer was travelling on foot and had been attempting to move a cow across the railway at the time of the accident. XE039 is a manually operated level crossing.



Figure 6 – Level crossing XE039

Occurrence classification: Serious accident. Subset: Level crossing. Investigation classification: Article 19(1). Fatalities and injuries: The level crossing user was fatally injured. Damage: None.

4.5 Gate strike by an On Track Machine at level crossing XC219, County Cork

At approximately 10:23 on the 2nd July 2010, the 08:00 passenger service from Dublin to Cork passed over level crossing XC219 to the west of Buttevant, County Cork, with the gates closed across the road. Seconds later, a Track Recording Vehicle approached the level crossing from the Cork side as the Level Crossing Keeper was in the process of closing the gates across the railway. The Track Recording Vehicle struck one of the gates destroying it. The level crossing is a type CX crossing, which are normally kept closed across the railway.



Figure 7 – Level crossing XC219

Occurrence classification: Accident. Subset: Level crossing. Investigation classification: Article 19(2). Fatalities and injuries: None. Damage: <€150,000.

4.6 Tractor strike and fatality at level crossing XM096, County Roscommon

At approximately 11:13 on the 2nd September 2010, as the 09:30 freight service from Ballina to Dublin approached manually operated level crossing XM096 in the townland of Ballymacfrane, County Roscommon, the train driver observed a tractor stopped on the railway line at the level crossing. The train struck the tractor, destroying the tractor and fatally injuring the tractor driver.



Figure 8 – Train at level crossing XM096

Occurrence classification: Serious accident Subset: Level crossing. Investigation classification: Article 19(1). Fatalities and injuries: The level crossing user was fatally injured. Damage: <€150,000.

4.7 Car strike at level crossing XM250, County Mayo

At approximately 11:50, on the 24th October 2010, the 10:15 passenger service form Athlone to Westport approached level crossing XM250 in the townland of Knockaphunta outside Castlebar, County Mayo. The train driver observed a car approaching the level crossing on the road and applied the emergency brake. The train struck the car, resulting in significant damage to the car.



Figure 9 – Level crossing XM250

Occurrence classification: Accident. Subset: Level crossing. Investigation classification: Article 19 (2). Fatalities and injuries: None. Damage: <€150,000.

5. Investigation reports published in 2010

5.1 Overview of investigation reports for 2010

The RAIU published five investigation reports in 2010. These related to one serious accident, four accidents and one incident. A total of twenty six new recommendations were made.

5.2 Collision of a Locomotive with Passenger Carriages at Plunkett Station in Waterford



Figure 10 – Plunkett station

On the 29th March 2009 at 20:12, the 17:35 service from Dublin to Waterford arrived on the Platform Line at Plunkett Station in Waterford. The carriages were uncoupled from the locomotive in order to move the locomotive from one end of the carriages to the other. The locomotive was moved over a set of points onto the Up Main Line. The train driver then tried to change cab to travel in the opposite direction by deselecting the cab he was in but could not as the MU-2-B1 valve that allows this was defective and therefore drove from the rear cab

of the locomotive without a shunter controlling the movement from the leading cab. The locomotive was incorrectly routed back onto the Platform Line towards the carriages by the signalman. When the train driver became aware that the locomotive was mis-routed the train driver applied the brakes, however the locomotive collided with the carriages. The coupling systems on all the carriages were damaged and the four wheels on one bogie of the first carriage struck derailed. A shunter, who was at the rear of the carriages at the time, was struck by the moving carriages. There were no fatalities. The shunter was hospitalised and released the same day. Two other members of staff, who were in the carriages at the time of the collision, suffered minor injuries. There were no passengers on the carriages at the time of the accident.

The immediate cause was:

• The route was not set correctly for the locomotive movement causing the locomotive to collide with the carriages.

The causal factor was:

• The locomotive movement was not controlled in accordance with the IÉ Rule Book.

The contributory factors were:

- The lack of formal requirements for refresher training and assessment of signalmen in cabins where they work as a relief signalman;
- The locomotive was in service with a defective MU-2-B1 valve;
- The lack of train driver instruction in the correct operation of the MU-2-B1 valve.

Two recommendations were made:

- IÉ should review their systems for training and competency management of signalmen ensuring working as a relief signalman is taken into account;
- IÉ should ensure procedures are put in place for the operation and maintenance of the MU-2-B1 valves.

5.3 Derailment of an on track machine at Limerick Junction Station



Figure 11 – Derailed on track machine

The immediate cause was:

At approximately 04:50 on the 3rd July 2009, a train consisting of two coupled On Track Machines, ballast regulator 703 and tamping machine 743, was travelling from a work site on the Dublin side of Limerick Junction Station to the Limerick Junction Sidings. For the final part of the movement unit 703 was leading. The leading left wheel of unit 703 did not follow the route the no. 27A points were set for, it travelled over the top of the left switch rail of the points and along the stock rail before derailing.

• The derailment of the wheels as a result of the wheels taking diverging routes on the track due to the poor interface created by degraded condition of the switch rail and the wheel profile.

The causal factors were:

- The poor condition of the no. 27A points;
- The flange sharpness of the wheels on the leading wheelset of the On Track Machine.

The underlying factors were:

- The lack of measurement of the wheel profiles as part of the maintenance of the On Track Machine;
- The lack of measurement of the wheel profiles following previous derailments of the On Track Machine.

Two recommendations were made:

- IÉ should put in place a formalised process to ensure that life expired points are removed from service, where this is not possible a risk assessment should be carried out and appropriate controls should be implemented to manage the risks identified;
- IÉ should ensure On Track Machine maintenance personnel are trained and competent to examine the wheelsets.

5.4 Derailment of a tram at Connolly Station



Figure 12 – Derailed tram at Connolly stop

On the 16th July 2009 at approximately 17:10, the driver of LUAS red line Tram 3006 changed cabs at Connolly Station and proceeded to drive on the normal outbound route towards Tallaght with passengers on board. The tram travelled past the Points Position Indicator for the facing points adjacent to the tram stop and was travelling over the points when the driver heard a loud bang and stopped the tram. This loud bang was as a result of the second wheelset of the first bogie derailing and rerailing. Two off-duty Veolia staff checked the tram and did not notice

any sign of derailment, however, they did notice an item of clothing under the tram. The driver then continued a short distance before stopping again as the driving cab was swerving towards the adjacent wall, which was due to the second wheelset travelling in the diverging direction. The investigation found that the Points Position Indicator for the points was displaying a single dot aspect prior to the driver departing the stop, meaning the facing points were not properly set for the normal outbound route. The points not being set for the route was a result of an item of clothing being stuck in the points. The driver did not check the PPI due to human error omission.

The immediate cause was:

• The tram driver did not react to the 'position of the points not detected' indication on the PPI sited on the approach to points SO-9D at Connolly Station, and proceeded over the points causing the tram to derail.

The contributory factor was:

• The points did not return to normal after the passage of the tram into the outbound platform as there was an item of clothing stuck in facing points SO-9D.

The findings of this investigation, combined with the actions already taken by Veolia, result in this investigation not sustaining any recommendations.

5.5 Malahide Viaduct Collapse on the Dublin to Belfast line



Figure 13 – Collapse of Malahide Viaduct

On the 21st August 2009, as an IÉ passenger service, travelling from Balbriggan to Pearse, passed over the Malahide Viaduct the driver witnessed a section of the viaduct beginning to collapse into Broadmeadow Estuary. The driver reported this to the controlling signalman who immediately set all relevant signals to danger ensuring no trains travelled over the viaduct. Within minutes of the report of the accident Pier 4 of the Malahide Viaduct had collapsed into the Broadmeadow Estuary. All post accident

emergency procedures were properly employed by the operating staff resulting in no fatalities or injuries to any members of the public or staff. At the time of the accident, the Malahide Viaduct piers were formed on a grouted rock armour weir.

The immediate cause was:

• The collapse of Pier 4 as a result of the undermining of the weir that surrounds and supports Pier 4 through the action of scouring.

The causal factors were:

• An inspection carried out on the Malahide Viaduct three days before the accident did not identify the scouring defects visible at the time;

- A scour inspection undertaken in 2006 did not identify the Malahide Viaduct as a high-risk structure to the effects of scouring;
- IÉ's likely failure to take any action after an independent inspection carried out on the Malahide Viaduct in 1997 identified that scouring had started at the base of Pier 4 and that the rock armour weir was "too light for the job";
- The historic maintenance regime for the discharge of stones along the Malahide Viaduct appears to have ceased in 1996, resulting in the deterioration of the weir which was protecting the structure against scouring.

The contributory factors were:

- IÉ had not developed a flood/scour management plan at the time of the accident, despite the International Risk Management Services Implementation Review (2001) and the AD Little Review (2006) recommending that this plan be developed. Contributory to IÉ not developing this flood/scour management plan was the fact that the RSC closed this recommendation in 2008;
- Engineers were not appropriately trained for inspection duties, in that the inspections training course they completed was an abridged version of the intended format, and there no formal mentoring programme, for Engineers on completion of this course;
- There was a shortfall in IÉ's suite of structural inspection standards in that a standard which provided guidance for inspectors in carrying out inspections was not formalised;
- There existed an unrealistic requirement for patrol gangers to carry out annual checks for scour, as they do not have access under the structure and in addition, they did not have the required specialist training/ skills to identify defects caused by scouring;
- A formal programme for Special Inspections for structures vulnerable to scour was not adopted, as per IÉ's Structural Inspections Standard, I-STR-6510, at the time of the accident.

The underlying factors were:

- There was a loss of corporate memory when former IÉ staff left the Division, which resulted in valuable information in the relation to the historic scouring and maintenance not being available to the staff in place at the time of the accident;
- There was a dearth of information in relation to the Malahide Viaduct due to IÉ's failure to properly introduce their information asset management system;
- IÉ's inadequate resourcing of Engineers for structural inspections to be carried out at the Malahide Viaduct;

- IÉ's failure to meet all the requirements of their Structural Inspections Standard, I-STR-6510, in that:
 - Visual inspections were not carried out for all visible elements of structures;
 - Bridge Inspection Cards, for recording findings of inspections, were not completed to standard or approved by the relevant personnel;
 - A formal programme for systematic visual inspections of all elements of a structure, including hidden or submerged elements, despite an independent review recommending that IÉ implement this programme in 2006.

The RAIU made fifteen recommendations:

- IÉ should put appropriate interface processes in place to ensure that when designated track patrolling staff (who report to two or more divisional areas) are absent from their patrolling duties, that appropriate relief track patrolling staff are assigned to perform these patrolling duties;
- IÉ should amend the Track Patrolling Standard, I-PWY-1307, to remove the requirement for track patrollers to carry out annual checks for scour;
- IÉ should formalise their 'Civil Engineering and Earthworks Structures: Guidance Notes on Inspections Standard', I-STR-6515, which should include guidance for inspectors on conducting inspections and identifying structural defects. On formalising this document IÉ should re-issue, in the appropriate format, to all relevant personnel;
- IÉ should introduce a verification process to ensure that all requirements of their Structural Inspections Standard, I-STR-6510, are carried out in full;
- IÉ should ensure that a system is put in place for effective implementation of existing standards and to manage the timely introduction of new and revised standards;
- IÉ should ensure that a programme of structural inspections is started immediately in accordance with their Standard for Structural Inspection, I-STR-6510, and ensure that adequate resources are available to undertake these inspections;
- IÉ should carry out inspections for all bridges subject to the passage of water for their vulnerability to scour, and where possible identify the bridge foundations. A risk-based management system should then be adopted for the routine examination of these vulnerable structures;
- IÉ should develop a documented risk-based approach for flood and scour risk to railway structures through:
 - Monitoring of scour risk at sites through scour depth estimation, debris and hydraulic loading checks, and visual and underwater examination;
 - Provision of physical scour / flood protection for structures at high risk;
 - Imposing of line closures during periods of high water levels where effective physical protection is not in place.

- IÉ should adopt a formal process for conducting structural inspections in the case of a report of a structural defect from a member of the public;
- IÉ should introduce a training, assessment and competency management system in relation to the training of structural inspectors, which includes a mentoring scheme for engineers to gain the appropriate training and experience required to carry out inspections;
- IÉ should review their network for historic maintenance regimes and record this information in their information asset management system. For any future maintenance regimes introduced on the network, IÉ should also record this information in their information asset management system;
- IÉ should incorporate into their existing standards the requirement for the input of asset information into the technical database system upon completion of structural inspections;
- IÉ should carry out an audit of their filed and archived documents, in relation to structural assets, and input this information into their information asset management system;
- The RSC should review their process for the closing of recommendations made to IÉ by independent bodies, ensuring that they have the required evidence to close these recommendations. Based on this process the RSC should also confirm that all previously closed recommendations satisfy this new process;
- The RSC, in conjunction with IÉ, should develop an action plan in order to close all outstanding recommendations in the AD Little Review (2006) and the International Risk Management Services Reviews (1998, 2000, 2001). This action plan should include defined timescales for the implementation and closure of all these recommendations.

5.6 Irregular operation of Automatic Half Barriers at level crossing XG019, Fern's Lock



Figure 14 – Level crossing XG019

On the 2nd September 2009, upgrading work was being undertaken at Automatic Half Barrier level crossing XG019, Fern's Lock, when an IÉ power cable was inadvertently severed. This disabled the external power supply to the level crossing, causing the crossing to fail and the barriers to automatically lower to the failsafe position. An Emergency Operator from Maynooth took control of the crossing while repairs were being undertaken to the cables to ensure that a train can only use the level crossing when the barriers are down, preventing

road traffic from accessing the rail line. This is done in conjunction with the controlling signalman and train driver. However, the signalman signalled the train through the level crossing without advising the Emergency Operator of its approach, which resulted in the passenger service travelling through XG019 while the barriers were in the raised position and the crossing was open to road traffic. The controlling signalman at the time of the incident normally operates the Suburban Network, which has no Automatic Half Barrier type level crossings, and only occasionally performs relief duties on the Sligo & Northern Line. The signalman was not familiar with the requirements in relation to the emergency operation of Automatic Half Barriers and therefore did not react to the indications displaying on the console which showed a fault at XG019 and the fact that an Emergency Operator had put the Automatic Half Barrier into local operation and raised the barriers for road traffic.

Immediate cause was:

• The controlling signalman did not follow the requirements for the 'General Instructions to Signalmen' during degraded operations at an Automatic Half Barrier.

The contributory factors were:

• The controlling signalman was unfamiliar with the 'General Instruction to Signalmen' when an Emergency Operator is on duty at an Automatic Half Barrier as he had never experienced an AHB in a degraded mode either in training, operationally or through the current competency management system. The underlying cause was:

• The competency management system did not ensure that relief signalmen were adequately trained to deal with degraded operations at an Automatic Half Barrier crossing.

The RAIU made one recommendation:

• IÉ should review the competencies of all signalmen to ensure that when signalmen are assigned relief duties they have the required training and experience to perform these duties appropriately.

5.7 Derailment of empty train due to collision with landslip debris outside Wicklow Station



At approximately 06:20 on the 16th November 2009, an empty train travelling from Connolly to Arklow collided with soil obstructing the railway line as a result of a landslip and derailed south of Wicklow Station.

Figure 15 – Derailed train on landslip

The immediate cause was:

• The landslip was the result of soil deposition by a landowner at the crest of the cutting.

The causal factors were:

- The blocking of the drainage ditch for the field which was adjacent to the field;
- The presence of sand lenses in the cutting which facilitated the flow of water through the cutting;
- The heavy rainfall for the month of November which resulted in the saturation of the field adjacent to the cutting.

The contributory factors were:

- The patrol ganger was unable to identify defects associated with the early signs of the landslip as the cutting was covered in dense vegetation, resulting in an unrealistic expectation that the patrol ganger could carry out these inspections effectively;
- The patrol ganger was unable to identify the water pouring down the pedestrian overbridge as an early defects associated with the failure of the cutting due to poor drainage as this was not identified as a condition to be looked for in the Track Patrolling Standard, I-PWY-1307, and not included in track patrolling training, again resulting in an unrealistic expectation that the patrol ganger could identify all signs of defects associated with cuttings;
- The only formal monitoring of cuttings during periods of heavy rainfall is through inspections carried out by the patrol ganger, through the Standard for Track Patrolling, I-PWY-1307. Given the fact that the track patroller has not received the appropriate training to identify all defects associated with the early signs of earthworks failure, there is some doubt that only carrying out these inspections is sufficient;
- The patrol ganger was not aware of the spreading of soil in the field adjacent to the cutting, which would be considered an "unusual events" being undertaken inside and outside the railway boundaries, as per the track patrolling standard, I-PWY-1307, as track patrols are carried out from track level, and therefore there was no way for the patrol ganger to be aware of the works being carried out in the adjacent field, which is approximately three metres above track level;
- A programme of Structural Inspections for the cutting was not adopted as required by Structural Inspections Standard, I-STR-6510, as the cutting was not identified as a structure under the Assistant Divisional Engineer's structures list, which resulted in no structural inspection being carried out on the cutting;
- The landowner did not believe that the works he was carrying out on the adjacent field would affect IÉ's cutting;
- The landowner was also unaware of the requirement to contact lÉ to inform them of any work, undertaken by him, which may affect the railway, as he had not been issued, nor was he aware of lÉ's Guidance on Third Party Works, I-DEP-0120. He was also unaware of the RSC's guidance document, RSC-G-011-A, Third Party Guidance on Railway Risk Volume 2 Neighbours, which again would have required him to contact the Division Engineer.

The underlying factors were:

- IÉ's Structural Inspection Standard, I-STR-6510, only requires for visual inspections to be carried out on cuttings greater than 3m, with no requirement for any geotechnical assessment to be carried out. As a result the sand lenses present in the cutting, which was identified as a contributory factor to the accident occurring, were not identified. Therefore, there is some doubt as to the efficacy of only having visual inspections, when a more intrusive inspection would identify the geotechnical properties of the cutting, allowing IÉ to identify structures that may be vulnerable to failure;
- There is some doubt that all private landowners, adjacent to the railway, are aware of the requirement to consult with IÉ in relation to any works that may affect IÉ assets as set out in IÉ's Guidance on Third Party Works, I-DEP-0120 and the RSC's guidance document, RSC-G-011-A, Third Party Guidance on Railway Risk Volume 2 Neighbours. Considering there has been no advertising to make third parties aware of this guidance document there is an unrealistic requirement on a landowner to be familiar with these documents.

The RAIU made six recommendations:

- IÉ should review their vegetation management processes to ensure that vegetation covering substantial earthworks structures is adequately maintained to facilitate the monitoring and inspection of earthwork structures by patrol gangers and other inspection staff;
- IÉ should review the effectiveness of their standards in relation to conducting earthworks inspections during periods of heavy rainfall, ensuring that earthworks vulnerable to failure are inspected during these periods by appropriately trained patrol gangers or inspectors;
- IÉ should review their Standard for Track Patrolling, I-PWY-1307, for its effectiveness in identifying any third party activities that occur inside and outside the railway boundaries that could affect safety and where any deficiencies are found, IÉ should develop an alternative process for the identification of these third party activities;
- IÉ should review their structures list and ensure that all earthworks are identified and included on this list. Upon updating this list, a programme for the inspection of earthworks is to be developed and adopted at the frequency requirements set out by the Structural Inspections Standard, I-STR-6510;
- IÉ and the RSC should review their process for the issuing of guidance documents, to ensure that the third parties affected by these guidance documents are made aware of their existence;
- IÉ should review the effectiveness of their Structural Inspections Standard, I-STR-6510, with consideration for the possibility of more thorough inspections being carried out on cuttings to establish the topography and geotechnical properties of cuttings; and from this information identify any cuttings that are vulnerable to failure.

6. Recommendations

6.1 Monitoring of RAIU recommendations

Under the Railway Safety Act 2005, the RSC is responsible for monitoring the implementation of RAIU recommendations. The recommendations issued by the RAIU are reviewed by RSC for acceptability and where RSC accept the recommendations it monitors their implementation. Table 3 identifies the three status codes assigned to recommendations by RSC and the definition of each.

Status	Description
Open	Feedback from implementer is awaited or actions have not yet been completed.
Complete	Implementer has taken measures to effect the recommendation and the RSC is
	considering whether to close the recommendation.
Closed	Implementer has taken measures to effect the recommendation and the RSC has
	considered these and has closed the recommendation.

Table 3 – Recommendation status descriptions

Open recommendations are those for which RSC has received some or no update from the organisation or organisations responsible for implementing the recommendation and for which further action is deemed to be required by RSC. This status is assigned by RSC.

Complete recommendations are those where the organisation responsible for implementing the recommendation is satisfied that it has carried out the necessary actions to address the recommendation and for which RSC has received evidence of implementation that it will review to determine whether or not the recommendation is closed. This status is advised to RSC by the organisation or organisations responsible for implementing the recommendation.

Closed recommendations are those for which RSC is satisfied that the organisation responsible for implementing the recommendation has taken suitable action to address the recommendation. This status is assigned by RSC.

In August 2010 RSC published guidance document RSC-G-023-A 'Guidance on the RSC's Supervision Activities'. This document includes details of how RSC monitor recommendations by meeting with those parties recommendations are addressed to three times a year to review the progress made by the organisation in order to address the recommendations. According to the RSC guidance on supervision activities, once the action taken to address a recommendation has advanced to the point where RSC believe a recommendation can be closed, an internal peer review is carried by RSC out to verify that the evidence presented is sufficiently robust to permit assigning a closed status to the recommendation. The peer review process is due to commence in 2011.

6.2 Progress in 2010

The progress with the implementation of recommendations in 2010 is shown in Table 4. The status of nineteen recommendations was upgraded from open to complete, of which thirteen were made in 2010. The status of one recommendation was downgraded from complete to closed. No new recommendations were closed in 2010.

Status	End 2009	New in 2010	End 2010
Open	13	13	21
Complete	5	13	23
Closed	16	0	16
Total	34	26	60

Table 4 – Progress with recommendations in 2010

In 2010, RSC held progress meetings with IÉ in April, July and November on recommendations. An update is included in the Appendix on the status of individual recommendations that were not closed prior to 2010 and the recommendations are listed in chronological order by investigation report. For clarity and completeness a comment has been included on the status of individual recommendations.

6.3 Summary of status of recommendations

As of the 31st December 2010 the RAIU have made 46 recommendations, in addition to these the RAIU have included the 14 recommendations made by RSC in its investigation report published in 2006 on the collapse of the Cahir viaduct in 2003. All recommendations were accepted by the organisations they were made to. The status of the recommendations as of the end of 2010 is included in Table 5.

Year	Recommendations	Accepted by	Open		Complete		Closed	
		implementer	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
2006	14*	14	1	7.14	3	21.43	10	71.43
2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008	7	7	2	28.57	3	42.86	2	28.57
2009	13	13	5	38.46	4	30.77	4	30.77
2010	26	26	13	50	13	50	-	-
Total	60	60	21		2	3	1	6

Table 5 – Status of recommendations

*Recommendations issued by RSC

The overall progress with the closure of recommendations is shown in Figure 16. Over a quarter of all recommendations have been closed and over a third of recommendations are at a stage where the organisation responsible for implementing them believes they have been fully addressed.



Figure 16 – Status of recommendations

Appendix – Status of individual recommendations by report

Investigati	on report no.	None	Issued	July 2006	
Inquiry inte	o the Derailme	ent of a Freight	t Train at Cahir Via	duct on 7 th October 2003	
Recomme	ndations			Tota	l no. 14
2006-001	design, inspection and maintenance procedures are not fully developed and documented, and should establish a programme to develop and implement the necessary specifications and standards prioritised on the basis of safety risk. The content and structure of each specification or standard should reflect the safety criticality of the various elements of the associated procedure or physical asset.				
					Complete
2006-003	003 IÉ should review the derailment containment arrangements on its various struct and make whatever modifications might be required to ensure that they are f purpose and capable of preventing disproportionate failure.				s structures y are fit for
	Comment	None.			Status
					Open
2006-009	IÉ should ensure that, pending full implementation and validation of new data management systems including those currently in course of development, comprehensive and up to date records of infrastructure asset inspection and maintenance are maintained and that relevant data is effectively promulgated to inspected maintenance.				f new data evelopment, pection and mulgated to
	Comment	Information is	s still being upload	ded to the Infrastructure	Status
		Asset Manage	ement System.		Complete
2006-015	IÉ should re necessary to effective mea	view its existin ensure that c ins of communi	g communications on all parts of syste cation with the contr	systems and take whatev em train drivers are provie olling signalman.	er action is ded with an
	Comment	IÉ have upgra	aded all lines other t	han the Limerick Junction	Status
		to Waterford	line and the Ballyb	rophy to Limerick line to	Complete
		Mode A radi remaining line	o, which has full s are lightly used IÉ	coverage. As the two have no plans to upgrade	
		them and RS	C are awaiting a res	ponse on how drivers are	
		to make col emergencies	ntact with the cor on these lines. Note exist	ntrol centre in case of e: Recommendation 2006-	
			CAIDL		

Investigati	on report no.	07062801 Issued 18 th June 2008				
Report into	o the Collision	n at Level Crossing XN 104 between Ballybrophy and Kill	onan on the			
28th of Jur	ne, 2007					
Recommen	ndations	Тс	tal no. 7			
2008-001	IÉ to review t	the various sources of information relevant to level crossings	and develop			
	a standard,	or suite of standards, consolidating information on: civil	engineering			
	specifications; signage specifications; visibility of approaching trains; and inspection and maintenance. Ensuring effective implementation and compliance					
	Comment	IÉ are currently developing standards.	Status			
			Open			
2008-002	IÉ to develop	a robust system that identifies current landowners who have	crossings on			
	their property	and records the delivery of information to them. This should	d include the			
	distribution o	f information to known contractors and should consider time	ly reminders			
	coming up to	the silage season.				
	Comment	None.	Status			
			Complete			
2008-003	IÉ to develop	p and implement a vegetation management programme that	at addresses			
	vegetation management on a risk basis, prioritising high risk areas.					
	Comment	A standard is being drafted.	Status			
			Complete			
2008-004	IÉ to ensure	that a system is put in place for effective implementation	ı of existing			
	standards an	and to manage the timely introduction of new and revised standards, this				
	should includ	e departmental instructions.				
	Comment	None.	Status			
			Complete			
2008-005	IÉ to review	the standards relating to on-board data recorders, ensuring	that correct			
	operation, ac	curacy and post incident downloads are effectively addressed				
	Comment	IÉ are building an event recorder website for staff, as of	Status			
		July 2010 this was 80 percent complete and was due to be	Open			
		finalised by the end of July 2010.				
2008-007	The RSC to	review and issue 'Guidelines for the Design of Railway Infras	structure and			
	Rolling Stock	,				
	Comment	The Guidelines for the Design of Railway Infrastructure and	Status			
		Rolling Stock were issued in September 2008, a review of	Closed			
		the guidelines was carried out in August 2010 with no				
		changes.				

Investigati	on report no.	08022801 Issued 2 nd March 2009			
Report into	o the Fatality	at Level Crossing XX 032 between Ballina and Manulla J	unction on		
the 28th of	February 200	8			
Recommer	ndations	Tot	al no. 4		
2009-001	The RSC sh	ould carry out a review of the suitability of this type of level	crossing on		
	public roads.	. This review should include, but not be limited to, facto	rs such as		
	continual misuse, signage, user mobility, environmental and human factors.				
	Comment Implementation of this recommendation is ongoing, a Status				
		survey of all occupation level crossings on public roads has	Open		
		been carried out. The status of this recommendation was			
		downgraded from complete to open.			
2009-002	2 IÉ should, taking into account the close proximity of the three level crossings, close of				
	upgrade som	e or all of these crossings.			
	Comment	A decision on a planning application for the upgrade of an	Status		
		existing underbridge in order to close the three level	Open		
		crossings is pending.			
2009-003	IÉ must ider	ntify crossings that are regularly misused and take proactiv	e action to		
	manage the i	ncreased risk created by this misuse.			
	Comment	IÉ are writing to the users of level crossings that are known	Status		
		to be misused, this was already being carried out prior to	Complete		
		the accident.			
2009-004	IÉ are to put	in place procedures that will capture and manage near miss rep	ports.		
	Comment	Near misses are being assigned categories based on their	Status		
		severity and review of these near misses is carried out at	Complete		
		the monthly operations safety review group meeting.			

Investigati	on report no.	08011001	Issued	6 th April 2009	
Report inte	o the derailm	ent of a Tara Mi	ines freight train	at Skerries on the 10 th	of January
2008					
Recommen	ndations			To	tal no.
2009-005	IÉ should pu	t in place a risk b	ased process to e	ensure ongoing review of the	ne suitability
	of the temperature settings of the Hot Axle Box Detectors.				
	Comment	A program to	reduce the d	ifferential and absolute	Status
		temperature set	tings is being imple	emented.	Closed
2009-006	IÉ are to ide	ntify the necessa	ry maintenance r	equirements for all Class	D bearings,
	including pro	oducing detailed	maintenance pr	ocedures taking into ac	count their
	operational c	onditions and all	owing for traceabi	lity of safety critical comp	onents, with
	assistance be	eing sought from t	he Original Equipr	ment Manufacturer where a	appropriate.
	Comment	The manufactu	rer's instructions	have been issued to	Status
		maintenance	personnel and	component overhaul	Closed
		instructions hav	e been issued.	A book logging bearings	
		fitted to axles in	n workshop is ma	intained in the workshop,	
		the axles fitted	to each bogie are	now recorded as well as	
		the bogie fitted t	o each vehicle.		

Investigati	on report no.	08061401 Issued 11 th May 2009	
Near miss	at Ballymurr	ay level crossing on the 14th of June 2008 between At	hlone and
Westport			
Recommen	ndations	Tota	al no.
2009-007	IÉ should ens	sure all safety critical staff have undertaken safety critical comr	nunications
	training and t	that their ongoing competency management systems specifical	lly monitors
	the quality of	safety critical communications.	
	Comment	Staff training is ongoing with the majority of staff having	Status
		undergone training. The new safety critical	Closed
		communications review group meets quarterly to review 20	
		recordings of safety critical communications for	
		acceptability.	
2009-008	lÉ should pu	ut in place safe work methods for the maintenance of Auto	omatic Half
	Barriers, thes	se methods should include risk assessments for any hazards i	identified in
	the maintena	nce of Automatic Half Barriers.	
	Comment	A new standard has been issued as well as a checklist for	Status
		maintenance personnel and a special instruction. All	Closed
		Automatic Half Barrier level crossings are due to be	
		replaced.	

Investigati	on report no.	08073101	Issued	29 th July 2009		
Collision b	between a tra	in and a road	vehicle at level	crossing XN125, C	Cappadine, on the	
Ballybrophy to Killonan line 31st of July 2008						
Recommer	ndations				Total no. 2	
2009-009	lÉ should as	sess the risks	relating to road	users' behaviour in	identifying a safe	
	stopping posi	ition at User Wo	rked Level Crossi	ngs and based on	the outcome of this	
	risk assessment, IÉ should introduce measures to allow safe use of this type of level					
	crossing.					
	Comment	IÉ is developing	g a new asset stra	tegy.	Status	
					Open	
2009-010	IÉ should ca	rry out risk asse	ssments on level	crossings that fail t	o meet the viewing	
	distances spe	ecified in the RSC	C guidance and im	plement appropriate	e measures in order	
	to meet this guidance as a minimum.					
	Comment	A new asset str	ategy is being dev	veloped.	Status	
					Open	

Investigation	on report no.	08120201	Issued	1 st December 2009	
Collision c	of a train with	n the gates of lev	el crossing XH	066, Bridgetown, on th	e Limerick
Junction to	Rosslare Str	and line, 2 nd of Dec	ember 2008		
Recommer	dations			Tot	al no. 3
2009-011	lÉ should re	view the training a	and competency	management of gatek	eepers and
	signalling ma	intenance personne	I.		
	Comment	A competency mai	nagement system	n is being developed. A	Status
		training facility is	now active and	two full time signalling	Complete
		assessors are in pl	ace.		
2009-012	IÉ should re	view the design of	signal indicators	to ensure their design	encourages
	correct interp	retation.			
	Comment	All signal indicator	rs have been illu	minated and backlights	Status
		have been fitted to	signals.		Complete
2009-013	The RSC sho	ould audit lÉ's traini	ng and competer	ncy management system	to verify its
	effectiveness				
	Comment	RSC carried out a	an audit in April	2010 and published a	Status
		report in May 2010	, which included	eight recommendations;	Open
		three non-complia	ances were ide	ntified and are being	
		tracked. A new st	tandard has beer	n approved internally by	
		IÉ and is currently	being briefed out	to staff.	

Investigati	on report no.	2010-R001	Issued	4 th March 2010		
Collision o	Collision of a Locomotive with Passenger Carriages at Plunkett Station in Waterford on the					
Limerick to	o Rosslare Lin	e, 29th of March 20	09			
Time & Dat	t e 20:12, 29 ^t	່ March 2009	Location	Plunkett Station, Waterfe	ord city	
Railway	IÉ		Line	Limerick to Rosslare line)	
Recommer	ndations			Tot	al no. 2	
2010-001	D01 IÉ should review their systems for training and competency management of signalmer ensuring working as a relief signalman is taken into account.				f signalmen	
	Comment	A new standard a	addressing this	has undergone internal	Status	
		approval within I	lÉ and is in	the process of being	Open	
		implemented.				
2010-002	IÉ should ens	sure procedures are	put in place for	the operation and mainter	nance of the	
	MU-2-B1 valv	ves.				
	Comment	Operation of the v	alves has bee	n included in train driver	Status	
		training and mainte	enance requirer	ments have been updated	Complete	
		to include the valve	es.			

Investigati	on report no.	R2010-003	Issued	10 th June 2010	
Derailment	of an on trac	k machine at Lime	rick Junction	Station on the Dublin to	Cork Line,
3rd of July	2009				
Time & Dat	t e 04:50, 3 rd	July 2009	Location	Limerick Junction Station	ו
Railway	IÉ		Line	Dublin to Cork line	
Recommer	ndations			Tot	al no. 2
2010-003	lÉ should pu	it in place a formali	sed process to	o ensure that life expired	points are
	removed fron	n service, where this	is not possible	a risk assessment should	l be carried
	out and appro	opriate controls shoul	d be implement	ted to manage the risks ide	entified.
	Comment	None.			Status
					Open
2010-004	IÉ should ens	sure On Track Machir	ne maintenance	personnel are trained and	competent
	to examine th	e wheelsets.			
	Comment	All On Track Ma	achine mainte	nance personnel have	Status
		undergone training	and are now	competent to examine	Complete
		wheelsets.			

Investigati	on report no.	2010-R004	Issued	16 th August 2010	
Malahide V	iaduct Collap	se on the Dublin t	o Belfast Line, o	on the 21st August 2009	
Time & Dat	t e 18:20, 21 [°]	st August 2009	Location	Malahide viaduct	
Railway	IÉ		Line	Dublin to Belfast line	
Recommen	ndations			Tota	l no. 15
2010-005	IÉ should pu	t appropriate interfa	ice processes in	place to ensure that when	designated
	track patrollir	ng staff (who report	to two or more of	divisional areas) are abse	nt from their
	patrolling du	ties, that appropriat	te relief track pa	atrolling staff are assigned	I to perform
	these patrolli	ng duties.			
	Comment	The patrol lengt	hs have been	rationalised and patrol	Status
		gangers now only	report to one per	rmanent way inspector.	Complete
2010-006	lÉ should a	amend the Track	Patrolling Star	ndard, I-PWY-1307, to i	remove the
	requirement	for track patrollers to	o carry out annua	al checks for scour.	
	Comment	The standard has	been amended a	and re-issued.	Status
	Complete				Complete
2010-007	17 IE should formalise their 'Civil Engineering and Earthworks Structures: Guidance Notes				lance Notes
	on Inspectior	is Standard', I-STR	-6515, which sho	ould include guidance for in	spectors on
	conducting ir	spections and iden	tifying structural	defects. On formalising th	is document
	IE should re-	issue, in the approp	priate format, to a	Il relevant personnel.	
	Comment	The guidelines ha	ve been formalis	ed and re-issued.	Status
0040.000		(Complete
2010-008		troduce a verificati	ION PROCESS to 6	ensure that all requireme	nts of their
	Structural Ins	pections Standard,	1-51R-6510, are	carried out in full.	Chatura
	Comment	A venilication pro	cess has been	put in place through the	Status
		signed off as com		in the system	Complete
2010-009	lÉ should en	sure that a system		or effective implementation	of existing
2010 000	standards an	d to manage the tim	nely introduction	of new and revised standa	rds
	Comment	Systems have be	en put in place t	hrough the new company	Status
		safety manageme	nt svstem.		Complete
2010-010	lÉ should en	sure that a program	nme of structura	Linspections is started im	mediately in
	accordance v	with their Standard	for Structural Ins	spection, I-STR-6510, and	ensure that
	adequate res	ources are available	e to undertake th	ese inspections.	
	Comment	Structural inspect	ions are now ca	arried out in accordance	Status
		with the standard.			Complete

2010-011	IÉ should carry out inspections for all bridges subject to the passage of water for their					
	vulnerability to scour, and where possible identify the bridge foundations. A risk-based					
	management system should then be adopted for the routi	ne examination of these				
	vulnerable structures					
	Comment This recommendation is in progress, detailed	inspections Status				
	have been carried out on 105 bridges susceptib	le to scour Open				
2010-012	IÉ should develop a documented risk-based approach for	flood and scour risk to				
2010 012	railway structures through:					
	 Monitoring of scour risk at sites through scour dept 	h estimation debris and				
	hydraulic loading checks, and visual and underwater e	vamination.				
	Brovicion of physical acour / flood protection for structures at high risk:					
	 Imposing of line closures during periods of high wat 	or lovels where effective				
	 Imposing of the closures during periods of high wat physical protection is not in place. 	el levels where effective				
	Commont A management standard is being developed a	nd is due to Status				
	be completed in March 2011					
0010 010	LÉ al culd a dant a formal analysis for an dusting structural in	Open				
2010-013	IE should adopt a formal process for conducting structural inspections in the case of a					
	report of a structural detect from a member of the public.	Otatua				
	Comment None.	Status				
		Complete				
2010-014	IE should introduce a training, assessment and competency	management system in				
	relation to the training of structural inspectors, which includes a mentoring scheme for					
	engineers to gain the appropriate training and experience required to carry out					
	Inspections.					
	Comment A competency management system is being of	leveloped, a Status				
	draft standard has been produced that includes	mentoring. Open				
2010-015	IE should review their network for historic maintenance	egimes and record this				
	information in their information asset management system. Fo	r any future maintenance				
	regimes introduced on the network, IE should also record this information in their					
	information asset management system.					
	Comment All historic information is currently being digitise	d and this is Status				
	due to be completed in June 2011.	Open				
2010-016	IE should incorporate into their existing standards the requirer	nent for the input of asset				
	information into the technical database system upon o	completion of structural				
	inspections.					
	Comment This is being incorporated into the structura	I inspection Status				
	process.	Complete				

2010-017	lÉ should ca	arry out an audit of their filed and archived documents, in	relation to		
	structural ass	sets, and input this information into their information asset m	anagement		
	system.				
	Comment	All historic information is currently being digitised and this is	Status		
		due to be completed in June 2011.	Complete		
2010-018	The RSC sho	buld review their process for the closing of recommendations	made to IÉ		
	by independe	ent bodies, ensuring that they have the required evidence to	close these		
	recommenda	tions. Based on this process the RSC should also confi	rm that all		
	previously closed recommendations satisfy this new process.				
	Comment	RSC guidance document was published in 2010 and a peer	Status		
		review process has been incorporated for monitoring of	Open		
		recommendations, which will commence in 2011.			
2010-019	The RSC, in	conjunction with IÉ, should develop an action plan in order	to close all		
	outstanding r	ecommendations in the AD Little Review (2006) and the Intern	ational Risk		
	Management	Services Reviews (1998, 2000, 2001). This action plan sho	ould include		
	defined times	cales for the implementation and closure of all these recomme	ndations.		
	Comment	This is in progress.	Status		
			Open		

Investigati	on report no.	2010-R005	Issued	24 th August 2010						
Irregular operation of Automatic Half Barriers at Fern's Lock, County Kildare, on the Dublin										
to Sligo Line, 2 nd September 2009										
Time & Dat	e 13:33, 2 nd	September 2009	Location	Level crossing XG019						
Railway	IÉ		Line	Dublin to Sligo line						
Recommendations Total										
2010-020	IÉ should review the competencies of all signalmen to ensure that when signalmen are									
	assigned relief duties they have the required training and experience to perform these									
	duties appropriately.									
	Comment	A new standard has	undergone in	ternal approval and is due	Status					
		to be briefed out.			Open					

Investigati	on report no.	2010-R006	Issued	15 th November 2010				
Derailment of empty train due to collision with landslip debris outside Wicklow Station, 16 th								
of November 2009								
Time & Dat	t e 06:20, 16 ^{tt}	¹ November 2009	Location	28 ½ milepost				
Railway	IÉ		Line	Dublin to Rosslare Europort				
Recommer	commendations Total no. 6							
2010-021	IÉ should review their vegetation management processes to ensure that vegeta							
	covering substantial earthworks structures is adequately maintained to facilitate th							
	monitoring and inspection of earthwork structures by patrol gangers and other							
	inspection staff.							
	Comment	The current stan	dards are beir	ng reviewed and a new Status				
		vegetation manage	ement standard	is due to be developed in Open				
	,	2011.						
2010-022	IE should review the effectiveness of their standards in relation to conducting earthworks inspections during periods of heavy rainfall, ensuring that earthworks vulnerable to failure are inspected during these periods by appropriately trained patro gangers or inspectors.							
	Comment	A track and struc	tures standard	was due to be issued in Status				
0040.000		November 2010.						
2010-023	J23 IE should review their Standard for Track Patrolling, I-PWY-1307, for its effection in identifying only third party activities that accurate inside and every the formation of the standard for the standard f							
	in identifying any third party activities that occur inside and outside the r boundaries that could affect safety and where any deficiencies are found, IÉ							
		The standard has	heen reviewed	undated and re-issued Status				
	Common			Complet	e			
2010-024	lÉ should rev	iew their structures	list and ensure	that all earthworks are identified an	nd			
	included on this list. Upon updating this list, a programme for the inspection of							
	earthworks is	to be developed a	nd adopted at	the frequency requirements set out t	by			
	the Structural	Inspections Standa	urd, I-STR-6510		-			
	Comment	The list is in the	process of be	ing reviewed and this is Status				
		ninety percent com	nplete.	Open				
2010-025	IÉ and the R	SC should review th	eir process for	the issuing of guidance documents,	to			
	ensure that the third parties affected by these guidance documents are made aware							
	their existence.							
	Comment	None.		Status				
				Open				

2010-026	IÉ should review the effectiveness of their Structural Inspections Standard, I-ST					
	6510, with consideration for the possibility of more thorough inspections being carrie					
	out on cuttings to establish the topography and geotechnical properties of cuttings; and					
	from this information identify any cuttings that are vulnerable to failure.					
	Comment	The standard has been revised and cuttings are now being	Status			
		managed on a risk basis.	Complete			





2nd floor, 2 Leeson Lane Dublin 2 Ireland +353 1 6041242 <u>info@raiu.ie</u> <u>www.raiu.ie</u>